

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,101	09/17/2003	Beata Bartkowska	F3315(C)	3698
201 7590 06/14/2007 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE,			EXAMINER	
			MAHAFKEY, KELLY J	
BLDG C2 SOU ENGLEWOOD	7TH O CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		ļ1761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/664,101	BARTKOWSKA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kelly Mahafkey	1761			
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/28/06 & 4/3/07. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-17</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,101

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-5 in the reply filed on April 3, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that to examine both inventions would not be burdensome to the examiner. This is not found persuasive, as the claimed inventions are distinct, as stated in the office action mailed January 3, 2007.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonas (US 4971824) in view of the combination of Koss et al. (WO 02/094035) and Blake (US 4244981). The references and rejection are incorporated herein and as stated in the office action mailed April 17, 2006.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 28, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a confection containing milk proteins) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant argues that Jonas teaches of away from the claimed invention by teaching that it is preferred to for milk and milk products to not be included in the confection. This is not convincing as applicant's claims recite as little as "about 0.25%"

Application/Control Number: 10/664,101

Art Unit: 1761

non-fat milk solids, and one of ordinary skill in the art would expect "about 0.25%" to encompass 0%.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, specifically against the secondary reference, Koss, applicant is reminded that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicant argues that the references of recorded to not teach of the recited fiber ranges as instantly claimed. This is not convincing as Jones (Examples 1-7) teaches that the confectionary products contain about 1-55% fruit puree and because applicant states (pages 5-6 of the specification) that the instantly claimed amounts of fiber are derived from about 5-80% fruit puree. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the references as cited herein to read upon the instantly claimed invention, as the ingredient that contributes fiber is included in similar amounts in the confectionary product.

Note: Claim 1 recites, "no additional stabilizers or emulsifiers as herein defined". It is unclear as to what is encompassed by the phrase, "as herein defined". While the claims are read in light of the specification, the specification cannot be into the claims, and thus it is unclear as to what additional stabilizers or emulsifiers are not included in the composition as instantly claimed. Amendment of the claim is requested.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1761

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Mahafkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Mahafkey Examiner Art Unit 1761

PRIMARY EXAMINER